CVMBS Research Day Score Sheet

Identification S	ticker	

INSTRUCTIONS:

- 1. Judge each presenter on the areas below using the 5 point scale for each category
- 2. Multiply the score by the weight listed in the next column and enter that number in the subtotal column
- 3. Tally the presenters overall score and enter in the bottom box
- 4. Turn the completed score sheet in to the research day committee members (please do this as quickly as possible so that Research Day results can be compiled)
- 5. Complete the second page/ comments to the presenter and either return it directly to the presenter or leave at the committee member table so they can return it to presenters.

5 = Strongly Agree 4 = Agree 3 = Neutral 2 = Disagree 1 = Strongly Disagree

		1	
EVALUATION OF CONTENT	Score	Weight	Sub-Total
WHY: A clear research problem or hypothesis is stated, and supported by the presenter's strong conceptual understanding of their research.		x 4	
WHAT/HOW: A clear research design is provided, including methods, preliminary data, results and interpretation, with a conclusion that relates back to the research problem or hypothesis.		x 5	
NEXT STEPS: The results/conclusions support future research directions with clear implication(s) for the presenter's field of study.		x 2	
EVALUATION OF PRESENTATION	Score	Weight	Sub-Total
LOGICAL FLOW: The presentation followed a logical flow, e.g. title/abstract, introduction, research design, and summary/conclusion.		х 3	
PREPAREDNESS: The presenter was well-practiced and professional (minimal pauses, good eye contact, physical appearance was appropriate, etc.).		x 2	
VERBAL COMMUNICATION: The presenter was clear, articulate and concise. The language was appropriate for the presenter's level.		x 2	
VISUAL AIDS: Poster or Powerpoint. The figures and text were clear and large enough to be seen and understood by an audience. The figures were relevant and assisted in explaining the research presented.		X 2	
	Total Score:		

CVMBS Research Day Feedback Sheet

Identification Sticker	

CONTENT FEEDS/	ACK TO STUDENT	PRESENTATION FEEDBACK TO STUDENT		
WI	HY	LOGICAL FLOW		
☐Stated purpose clearly	☐Stated purpose needs improvement	☐ Smooth transitions between figures & slides	☐ Transition needs improvement	
WHAT	/HOW	Logic was easy to follow	Logic needs improvement	
☐Good knowledge of	☐Need to improve	VISUA	AL AIDS	
background	knowledge of background	Audio/Visual supported the presentation	☐ Audio/Visual needs improvement	
☐Work performed by student was clear	☐Work performed by student was not clear	☐ Text was readable and clear, no jargon	☐ Text needs improvement☐ Graphs/figures need	
NEXT :	STEPS	Graphs/figures clear and	improvement	
☐Stated purpose clearly	☐Stated purpose needs improvement	understandable ☐ Figure legends were clear	Figure legends need improvement	
ADDITIONAL FEEDBACK:		VERBAL COMMUNICATION		
ADDITIONAL I LEDDAON.		 □ Spoke clearly and at appropriate pace □ Demonstrates enthusiasm for research □ Lay and scientific terms used well 	 □ Clarity and pace needs improvement □ Diminished enthusiasm for research □ Lay and scientific terms need improvement 	